A MATHEMATICAL PROOF OF S. SHELAH'S THEOREM ON THE MEASURE PROBLEM AND RELATED RESULTS

BY

JEAN RAISONNIER

ABSTRACT

Recently, S. Shelah proved that an inaccessible cardinal is necessary to build a model of set theory in which every set of reals is Lebesgue measurable. We give a simpler and metamathematically free proof of Shelah's result. As a corollary, we get an elementary proof of the following result (without choice axiom): assume there exists an uncountable well ordered set of reals, then there exists a non-measurable set of reals. We also get results about Baire property, K_{σ} -regularity and Ramsey property.

§0. Introduction

Recently, S. Shelah ([5]) has given an unexpected answer to Solovay's question ([7]): is the theory ZF + DC + "every set of reals is measurable" equiconsistent with ZF?[†] Actually, Shelah proved the following result, by means of combinatorial ideas and elaborated metamathematical methods:

THEOREM 1 (S. Shelah). Assume $ZF + DC + "every \Sigma_3^1$ set of reals is measurable". Then \aleph_1 is an inaccessible cardinal in the constructible universe L.

So the theory ZF + DC + "every Σ_3^1 set of reals is measurable" is already strictly stronger than ZF.

By using S. Shelah's combinatorials ideas, but by working on 2^{ω} instead of \aleph_1 , we get a simpler and metamathematically free proof of S. Shelah's theorem. Furthermore we obtain new results about other properties of sets of reals, namely the Baire property, the K_{σ} -regularity and the Ramsey property. Let us recall the following definitions:

^{&#}x27; Throughout the paper "measurable" means "Lebesgue measurable".

Received December 31, 1981 and in revised form June 6, 1982

DEFINITION 1. (i) Recall that a subset of ω^{ω} is K_{σ} if it is the union of a countable sequence of compact subsets of ω^{ω} . A subset X of ω^{ω} is K_{σ} -regular if: either X is contained in a K_{σ} set, or X contains a closed set which is not K_{σ} .

(ii) If x is a countable set, let $[x]^{\omega}$ be the set of infinite subsets of x. A subset X of $[\omega]^{\omega}$ is Ramsey if there exists an element x of $[\omega]^{\omega}$ such that $[x]^{\omega} \subseteq X$ or $[x]^{\omega} \subseteq [\omega]^{\omega} \setminus X$.

In Solovay's model every set of reals is measurable and has the Baire property, and also every set of reals is K_{σ} -regular (A. Louveau [1]) and is Ramsey (A.R.D. Mathias [3]).

(By "set of reals" we mean respectively subset of 2^{ω} , subset of ω^{ω} , or subset of $[\omega]^{\omega}$, depending on the property we consider.)

Now let us state our result which is a generalization of Shelah's theorem:

THEOREM 2. Assume ZF + DC + "every Σ_2^1 set of reals is measurable" and either

(i) every Σ_3^1 set of reals is measurable, or

(ii) every Σ_3^1 set of reals has the Baire property, or

(iii) every Σ_3^1 set of reals is K_{σ} -regular, or

(iv) every Σ_3^1 set of reals is Ramsey.

Then \aleph_1 is an inaccessible cardinal in the constructible universe L.

REMARK. The hypothesis "every Σ_2^1 set is measurable" cannot be replaced by "every Σ_2^1 set is measurable" or by "every Σ_2^1 has the Baire property" or by "every Σ_2^1 is K_{σ} -regular" because of the following unpublished result of S. Shelah and J. Stern ([8]):

THEOREM 3 (S. Shelah and J. Stern). Assume ZF is consistent. Then the following theory is consistent: $ZF + DC + \aleph_1^L = \aleph_1 + "every ordinal definable set of reals is measurable" + "every set of reals has the Baire property" + "every set of reals is <math>K_{\sigma}$ -regular".

The problem to replace in Theorem 2 "every Σ_2^1 is measurable" by "every Σ_2^1 set is Ramsey" is still open.

As a corollary of the lightface version of Theorem 2, we get:

THEOREM 4. Assume $ZF + DC + MA + 2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_1 + \aleph_1^L = \aleph_1$. Then there exists: (i) a non-measurable Σ_3^1 set of reals, (ii) a Σ_3^1 set of reals without the Baire property, (iii) a non- K_{σ} -regular Σ_3^1 set of reals, (iv) a non-Ramsey Σ_3^1 set of reals. REMARK. Parts (i) and (ii) are unpublished results of Galvin, Roirman, Shelah, Solovay, Woodin.

We get also the following:

THEOREM 5. Assume ZF + DC + "there is an uncountable well ordered set of reals". Then there is a non-measurable set of reals.

REMARK. S. Shelah has announced this last result suggesting a proof using a combination of his proof of Theorem 1 and J. H. Silver's proof of a theorem about coanalytic equivalence relations ([6]). We have a quite elementary proof.

We would like to close this introduction by thanking Jacques Stern, Ramez Sami and Alain Louveau for their remarks.

§1. Rapid filters

For proving Theorems 2, 4 and 5 we have to build sets of reals not having the property of measurability, the Baire property, the K_{α} -regularity and the Ramsey property.

The classical constructions use the axiom of choice or at least the existence of a non-trivial ultrafilter on ω . Let us recall the following facts:

THEOREM 6. Let \mathcal{U} be a non-trivial ultrafilter on ω . Then

(i) \mathcal{U} viewed as a subset of 2^{ω} is not measurable;

(ii) \mathcal{U} viewed as a subset of 2^{ω} doesn't have Baire property;

(iii) \mathcal{U} viewed as a subset of ω^{ω} (indentifying infinite subsets of ω with their increasing enumeration) is not K_{σ} -regular (A. Louveau [1]);

(iv) let $f:[\omega]^{\omega} \to [\omega]^{\omega}$ be the following continuous function: if $x \in [\omega]^{\omega}$ let $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be its increasing enumeration and let $f(x) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} [x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}]$. Then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ is not Ramsey (A.R.D. Mathias [2]).

Of course we can't use these results. But it turns out that it is possible to replace the ultrafilter by a "rapid filter" which will play the same role. This notion is due to G. Mokobodzki [4]:

DEFINITION 2. A non-trivial filter \mathcal{F} on ω is rapid if for every $\varphi: \omega \to \omega$ there is a set $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for every $k \in \omega$:

$$\overline{\overline{F \cap \varphi(k)}} \leq k.$$

(We identify an integer with the set of its predecessors.)

51

THEOREM 7. Let \mathcal{U} be a rapid filter on ω . Then assertions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) of Theorem 6 are true.

Parts (i), (ii), (iii) are due to M. Talagrand ([9]). Part (iv) is due to A.R.D. Mathias ([2]).

Classically, constructions of rapid filters were done using AC+CH (or Martin's axiom). We show another way to construct a rapid filter. This construction is inspired by the combinatorials ideas of S. Shelah.

From now on, X is a well ordered subset of 2^{ω} of type \aleph_1 , with ordering $<_X$.

DEFINITION. (i) For $\alpha, \beta \in 2^{\omega}, \alpha \neq \beta$ we let:

$$h(\alpha,\beta) = \inf \{ n \in \omega; \alpha(n) \neq \beta(n) \}.$$

(ii) Let R be some equivalence relation on 2^{ω} . We associate to R a set $Z_R \subset \omega$ by:

$$Z_{R} = \{h(\alpha, \beta); \alpha \in X, \beta \in X, \alpha \neq \beta, R(\alpha, \beta)\}.$$

(iii) We define \mathscr{F}_X the filter generated by the sets Z_R , for R a Borel equivalence relation on 2^{ω} with countably many classes.

PROPOSITION 1. \mathscr{F}_x is a non-trivial filter on ω , and if $A \in \mathscr{F}_x$ and $n \in \omega$ then $A \cap \{k \in \omega; k \ge n\} \in \mathscr{F}_x$.

PROOF. At first let us remark that for any R_1 , R_2 , $Z_{R_1} \cap Z_{R_2} \supset Z_{R_1 \cap R_2}$. Let us show that each Z_R is infinite: as X has cardinality \aleph_1 and R countably many classes, one of the R-classes on X, say Y, is infinite. The function h maps $[Y]^2$ (the set of pairs of elements of Y) into Z_R . So, by Ramsey's theorem, if Z_R is finite then there is an infinite homogeneous set. But by definition of h, any homogeneous set is of cardinality at most 2.

Let $A \in \mathscr{F}_x$ and $n \in \omega$. Let R be such that $A \supset Z_R$. Then $A \cap \{k \in \omega; k \ge n\} \supset Z_{R'}$ where R' is defined by:

$$R'(x, y) \leftrightarrow R(x, y) \wedge x \upharpoonright n = y \upharpoonright n.$$

DEFINITION 3. For H a subset of $2^{\omega} \times 2^{\omega}$ we let:

$$H(X)=\bigcup_{\alpha\in X}H_{\alpha},$$

where H_{α} , the section of H at α , is defined by:

$$H_{\alpha} = \{\beta \in 2^{\omega}; (\alpha, \beta) \in H\}.$$

Now comes the key proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. Assume the following hypothesis:

(N) For every G_{δ} subset of $2^{\omega} \times 2^{\omega}$ with null sections H, H(X) is null.[†] Then \mathcal{F}_{X} is a rapid filter.

PROOF. Choose recursively a family $(A(s, l, j))_{s \in 2^{<\omega}, l \in \omega, j \in \omega}$ of basic open sets in 2^{ω}, independent in the sense of measure, with $m(A(s, l, j)) = 2^{-(l+j)}$ (*m* denotes the Lebesgue measure). To each increasing function $\varphi : \omega \to \omega$, we associate a set $H^{\varphi} \subset 2^{\omega} \times 2^{\omega}$ by:

$$(\alpha,\beta) \in H^* \leftrightarrow \forall j \; \exists j' \ge j \; \exists l \ge j' \; \beta \in A \; (\alpha \restriction \varphi(l), l, j').$$

 H^{φ} is a G_{δ} set with null sections, so by (N), $H^{\varphi}(X)$ is null. We now use the following lemma:

LEMMA 2. Let E be a null subset of 2^{ω} . There is a closed subset B of 2^{ω} such that $B \cap E = \emptyset$, m(B) > 0 and for every $s \in 2^{<\omega}$, if $B \cap N_s \neq \emptyset$ then $m(B \cap N_s) \ge 1/8^{|s|+1}$ (where |s| is the length of the sequence s).

PROOF. Let $B_0 \subset 2^{\infty}$ be a closed set such that $B_0 \cap E = \emptyset$ and $m(B_0) \ge \frac{1}{2}$. Assume B_k is defined and let:

$$B_{k+1} = \bigcup \{B_k \cap N_s; s \in 2^{k+1}, m(N_s \cap B_k) \ge 1/8^{k+1}\}.$$

Finally let:

$$B=\bigcap_{k\geq 0} B_k.$$

Let us check that B is suitable. For each $k \in \omega$ and $s \in 2^{<\omega}$, $|s| \leq k$, one has:

$$m(N_{s} \cap (B_{k} - B_{k+1})) \leq 2^{k+1} |s|/8^{k+1}.$$

Hence one has:

$$m(B) \ge \frac{1}{2} - \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{1}{4^{k+1}}$$

and thus:

For $s \in 2^{<\omega}$, |s| > 0 and $N_s \cap B \neq \emptyset$, one has $N_s \cap B_{|s|} \neq \emptyset$ and so $m(N_s \cap B_{|s|-1}) \ge 1/8^{|s|}$ and $N_s \cap B_{|s|} = N_s \cap B_{|s|-1}$. Hence one has:

$$m(N_s \cap B) \ge m(N_s \cap B_{|s|}) - \sum_{k \ge |s|} m(N_s \cap (B_k - B_{k+1}))$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{8^s} - \sum_{k \ge |s|} \frac{2^{k+1-|s|}}{8^{k+1}}$$

' "Null" means of measure zero.

Vol. 48, 1984

and thus:

$$M(N_s \cap B) > 1/8^{(s+1)}$$

Now associate with $H^{\varphi}(X)$ a closed set B^{φ} as in the lemma. The sets $\mathcal{O}_{j} = (\bigcup_{j' \geq j} \bigcup_{l \geq j'} A(\alpha \restriction \varphi(l), l, j')) \cap B^{\varphi}$ are open in B^{φ} and $\bigcap_{j} \mathcal{O}_{j} = \emptyset$; so by Baire's theorem there is at least some $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ and $j \in \omega$ such that:

(1)
$$B^{\varphi} \cap N_s \neq \emptyset$$
 but $B^{\varphi} \cap N_s \cap \mathcal{O}_j = \emptyset$.

We now define an equivalence relation R^{φ} . Let $\langle \rangle$ be a recursive one-to-one map from $\omega \times 2^{<\omega}$ into ω such that, for every $s, \langle s \rangle \ge |s|$. To $\alpha \in 2^{\omega}$ associate:

 $F(\alpha)$ = the least pair $\langle j_0, s_0 \rangle$ such that (1) holds if there is any, $F(\alpha) = \infty$ otherwise.

Then let, for $\alpha, \beta \in 2^{\omega}$:

$$R^{\varphi}(\alpha,\beta) \leftrightarrow (F(\alpha) = \infty \text{ and } F(\beta) = \infty) \text{ or}$$

 $(F(\alpha) \neq \infty \text{ and } F(\alpha) = F(\beta) \text{ and } \alpha \restriction \varphi(F(\alpha)) = \beta \restriction \varphi(F(\beta))).$

It is easy to check that R^{φ} is Borel (actually it is Σ_4^0) and has countably many classes.

Let Z_{ω} be the corresponding set in \mathcal{F}_{X} . We claim that for every $k \in \omega$:

$$\overline{\overline{Z_{\varphi} \cap \varphi(k)}} \leq k^2 (3k+3)^2 2^{4k}.$$

For this we have to compute

$$Z_{\varphi} \cap \varphi(k) = \{h(\alpha, \beta) < \varphi(k); \alpha, \beta \in X, \alpha \neq \beta, R^{\varphi}(\alpha, \beta)\}.$$

If $\alpha, \beta \in X$ and $R^{\varphi}(\alpha, \beta)$ we know that there is some $j \in \omega$, $s \in 2^{<\omega}$, such that $F(\alpha) = \langle j, s \rangle$, $F(\beta) = \langle j, s \rangle$ and $\alpha \upharpoonright \varphi(\langle j, s \rangle) = \beta \upharpoonright \varphi(\langle j, s \rangle)$.

So we have, by definition of h, $h(\alpha, \beta) \ge \varphi(\langle j, s \rangle)$, but φ is increasing, so if $h(\alpha, \beta) < \varphi(k)$ then $\langle j, s \rangle < k$. We know by definition of F and because j is less than k, that $B^{\varphi} \cap N_s \ne \emptyset$ and $B^{\varphi} \cap N_s \cap A(\alpha \upharpoonright \varphi(k), k, j) = \emptyset$ and similarly for β . Let:

$$\Delta(s,j) = \{t \in 2^{\varphi(k)}; B \cap N_s \cap A(t,k,j) = \emptyset\},\$$
$$\delta(s,j) = \overline{\Delta(s,j)}.$$

The above remarks show that

$$\overline{\overline{Z_{\varphi} \cap \varphi(k)}} \leq \sum_{\substack{(s,j) < k \\ B^{\psi} \cap N, \neq \emptyset}} \delta(s,j)^{2}.$$

So we have to bound $\delta(s, j)$ (when $B^* \cap N_s \neq \emptyset$). But by definition of $\Delta(s, j)$ one has:

$$B^{\varphi} \cap N_{\mathfrak{s}} \subset \bigcap_{\mathfrak{c} \in \Delta(\mathfrak{s},j)} (2^{\omega} \setminus A(\mathfrak{c},k,j))$$

which, by using the independence property, gives:

$$m(B^{\varphi} \cap N_s) \leq (1-2^{-k+j})^{\delta(s,j)}.$$

But we have $B^{\circ} \cap N_s \neq \emptyset$ and thus $m(B^{\circ} \cap N_s) \ge 1/8^{|s|+1}$, which, by using logarithms, gives:

$$\delta(s,j) \leq (3 \mid s \mid + 3)2^{k+j}$$

and thus:

$$\overline{\overline{Z_{\varphi} \cap \varphi(k)}} \leq \sum_{(s,j) < k} ((3 \mid s \mid + 3)2^{k+j})^2$$

and by using that if $\langle j, s \rangle < k$, then |s| < k:

$$\overline{\overline{Z_{\varphi} \cap \varphi(k)}} \leq k(3k+3)^2 2^{4k}.$$

Now it is quite easy to prove that \mathscr{F}_x is rapid. For $k \in \omega$ let $\psi(k) = k(3k+3)^2 2^{4k}$. Given any $\varphi : \omega \to \omega$, which we may assume increasing, define φ' by:

$$\varphi'(k) = \varphi(\psi(k+1))$$

and associate $Z_{\varphi'}$. For every $k \in \omega$ we have:

$$\overline{Z_{\varphi'} \cap \varphi(\psi(k+1))} \leq \psi(k)$$

and it follows that, if $p \ge \psi(0)$:

$$\overline{Z_{\varphi'}\cap\varphi(p)\leq p}.$$

But by Proposition 1 one can find $A \in \mathscr{F}_x$, $A \subset Z_{\varphi}$, such that $A \cap \varphi(\psi(0)) = \emptyset$, and so for every $p \in \omega$:

$$\overline{\overline{A\cap\varphi(p)}} \leq p.$$

COROLLARY (DC(\aleph_1)). Assume every union of \aleph_1 null sets is null. Then there is a rapid filter.

PROOF. Pick some $X \subset 2^{\omega}$ of cardinality \aleph_1 . By Proposition 2, \mathscr{F}_X is rapid.

§2. Proof of Theorems 2, 4 and 5

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. Let X be an uncountable well ordered subset of 2^{ω} . We may assume that X is of type \aleph_1 . Let $<_X$ be its order. If H is a G_{δ} subset of $2^{\omega} \times 2^{\omega}$ with null sections, and $\alpha \in H(X)$, let $\lambda(\alpha)$ be the least $\gamma \in X$ (for $<_X$) for which $\alpha \in H_{\gamma}$. Then define:

$$\hat{H}(X) = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in H(X) \times H(X); \lambda(\alpha) <_X \lambda(\beta)\}.$$

If some $\tilde{H}(X)$ is not measurable then the conclusion of Theorem 5 does hold. If all $\tilde{H}(X)$, for $H \neq G_{\delta}$ with null sections, are measurable, then (by Fubini's theorem) all H(X) are null so that (N) holds. But then, by Proposition 2, \mathcal{F}_X is a rapid filter, hence is not measurable (Theorem 7). In any case there is a non-measurable set.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. We want to show that any of the assumptions implies that, for all $\alpha \in \omega^{\omega}$, $\aleph_1^{L[\alpha]} < \aleph_1$. Suppose not, so that $\aleph_1 = \aleph_1^{L[\alpha_0]}$ for some $\alpha_0 \in \omega^{\omega}$. Let $X = 2^{\omega} \cap L[\alpha_0]$. X then admits a good Σ_2^1 well ordering (that means, the set $\{(x, y); y \in X \text{ and } x \text{ codes the set of predecessors of } y\}$ is Σ_2^1), and it is not hard to see that the sets $\hat{H}(X)$ for $H \neq G_8$ are Σ_2^1 hence measurable by hypothesis. As above, it follows that (N) holds. But then, by Proposition 2, the corresponding \mathscr{F}_X is a rapid filter.

Moreover, one checks that \mathscr{F}_X is $\Sigma_3^1(\alpha_0)$, so that Theorem 7 gives the desired contradiction.

The preceding proof also gives Theorem 4 by noting that $MA + 2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_1$ implies that all Σ_2^i sets are measurable. It also gives that results hold at higher levels of projective hierarchy. For example, if Σ_n^i sets are measurable $(n \ge 2)$ and there is a set X with a Σ_n^i good well ordering of type \aleph_1 , then the corresponding \mathscr{F}_X is a Σ_{n+1}^i rapid filter.

References

1. A. Louveau, Une propriété de petitesse des filtres sur ω , Colloq. Int. C.N.R.S. (Paris) 249 (1978), 147-155.

2. A.R.D. Mathias, A remark on rare filters, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai, 10 — Infinite and finite sets, to Paul Erdos on his 60th birthday (A. Hajnal, R. Rado and V. T. Sos, eds.), North Holland, 1975.

3. A.R.D. Mathias, Happy families, Ann. Math. Logic. 12 (1977), 59-111.

4. G. Mokobodzki, Ultrafiltres rapides sur N. Construction d'une densité relative de deux potentiels comparables, Seminaire Brelot-Choquet-Deny (théorie du potentiel), nº 12, Paris, 1967/68.

5. S. Shelah, Can you take Solovay's inaccessible away?, Isr. J. Math. 48 (1984), 1-47.

6. J. H. Silver, Counting the number of equivalence classes of Borel and coanalytic equivalence relations, Ann. Math. Logic 18 (1980), 1-28.

J. RAISONNIER

7. R. Solovay, A model of set theory in which every set of reals is Lebesgue measurable, Ann. of Math. (2) 92 (1970), 1-56.

8. J. Stern, Regularity properties of definable sets of reals, circulated notes, 1981.

9. M. Talagrand, Compacts de fonctions mesurables et filtres non mesurables, Stud. Math. 67 (1980), 13-43.

UER 47

Université Paris VI

4, PLACE JUSSIEU

75230 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE